## 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16

1

2

3

4

5

UNITED	STATES	DISTRICT	COURT

## Northern District of California

San Francisco Division

MARIA LOMBERA,

No. C 12-06463 LB

v.

ORDER CONTINUING THE

HEARING ON WELLS FARGO'S MOTION TO DISMISS

WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A., et al.,

Plaintiff,

[Re: ECF No. 6]

Defendants.

17

served defendant Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. with the complaint and summons on December 28, 2013,

18

and Wells Fargo has appeared and now moves to dismiss Ms. Lombera's complaint. Proof of

19

Service (Wells Fargo), ECF No. 4; Motion, ECF No. 6. Ms. Lombera and Wells Fargo both

20

consented to the undersigned's jurisdiction. Consent (Lombera), ECF No. 9; Consent (Wells Fargo),

Plaintiff Maria Lombera filed this action on December 20, 2013. Complaint, ECF No. 1. She

21

ECF No. 10; see 28 U.S.C. § 636(c). Apparently, Ms. Lombera served defendant The Bank of New

2223

24

25

26

27

28

<sup>1</sup> 28 U.S.C. § 636(c) provides in relevant part: "Notwithstanding any provision of law to the contrary—(1) Upon the consent of the parties, a full-time United States magistrate judge or a part-time United States magistrate judge who serves as a full-time judicial officer may conduct any or all proceedings in a jury or nonjury civil matter and order the entry of judgment in the case, when specially designated to exercise such jurisdiction by the district court or courts he serves." If all parties (excluding unserved defendants, *see Ornelas v. De Frantz*, C 00-1067 JCS, 2000 WL 973684, at \*2 n.2 (N.D. Cal. June 29, 2000) (citing *Neals v. Norwood*, 59 F.3d 530, 532 (5th Cir. 1995))) do not consent to the undersigned's jurisdiction, the case must be reassigned to a district judge. *See Young v. Williams*, 393 Fed. Appx. 516, 517 (9th Cir. 2010) ("a magistrate judge may, upon the consent of all parties, exercise jurisdiction over all proceedings in a civil matter") (citing

C 12-06463 LB ORDER

1	York (or The Bank of New York Mellon, <i>compare</i> Complaint, ECF No. 1 ¶ 9 with id. ¶ 17) ("BNY
2	Mellon") with the complaint and summons on January 8, 2013, but, surprisingly, it has not appeared
3	or answered or otherwise responded to the complaint. Proof of Service (BNY Mellon), ECF No. 5.
4	In light of the failure of BNY Mellon to appear and, thus, to consent to or decline the
5	undersigned's jurisdiction, the court <b>CONTINUES</b> the hearing on Wells Fargo's motion from
6	March 7, 2013 to April 17, 2013 at 11:00 a.m. in Courtroom C, 15th Floor, United States District
7	Court, 450 Golden Gate Avenue, San Francisco, California, 94102. The court <b>ORDERS</b> Ms.
8	Lombera to personally serve a copy of this order on BNY Mellon no later than Monday, March 11,
9	2013.
10	IT IS SO ORDERED.
11	Dated: March 1, 2013
12	LAUREL BEELER United States Magistrate Judge
13	Office States Magistrate Juage
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

26

27

24

25

28 U.S.C. § 636(c)); *JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. v. Chavez*, No. C 11–5129 PSG, 2011 WL 6760349, at \*1 n.3 (N.D. Cal. Dec. 5, 2011) ("This court is ordering reassignment to a District Judge because, absent consent of all parties, a Magistrate Judge does not have authority to make case-dispositive rulings." (citing 28 U.S.C. § 636(c)(1); *Tripati v. Rison*, 847 F.2d 548, 548–49 (9th

28 case-disposi Cir. 1988)).